

Supervised Learning with Nearest Neighbors

CS 780/880 Natural Language Processing Lecture 4 Samuel Carton, University of New Hampshire

Last lecture

Key idea: Vectorizing text

Concepts

- Preprocessing
 - Stemming
 - Tokenization
- Vectorizing
 - Bag-of-words
 - TF-IDF
- Text similarity
 - Jaccard distance
 - Cosine distance/similarity
 - Others

Toolkits

- Numpy for vectors
- NLTK for preprocessing
- Scikit-Learn for vectorizing & similarity



Supervised learning for classification

Classification: given input text x, classify x by predicting label y

- "You are an ass!" \rightarrow toxic
- "SALE! SALE! SALE!" → spam •
- "You are a mensch!" \rightarrow nontoxic
- "The movie was great." \rightarrow positive "The movie was awful." \rightarrow negative
 - "I'm breaking up with you." \rightarrow not spam

Supervised learning: given a training set X_{train} with labels Y_{train} , learn how to predict y for an unseen input x

All we know how to do right now is text similarity. How to do supervised classification with just this tool?



K-nearest neighbors

Basic idea: when trying to classify x, find the K nearest neighbors of x within X_{train} and let \hat{y} be the majority-vote true label y_{train} among those K neighbors

Why does it have to be K? Why not always K = 1?

How would you implement this given what you already know?



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/K-nearest_neighbors_algorithm



Case study: SST-2

- Stanford Sentiment Treebank (2-class version)
- Short movie reviews, tagged as positive or negative in sentiment
- Created by Socher et al. (2013)
- <u>https://nlp.stanford.edu/sentiment/tr</u> <u>eebank.html</u>
- Included as part of GLUE benchmark
 - <u>https://gluebenchmark.com/tasks</u>
- Size:
 - 67,349 training examples
 - 872 dev examples
 - 1821 test examples



the rock is destined to be the 21st century 's new $\.$ conan '' and that he 's going to make a splash even greater than arnold schwarzenegger , jean-claud van damme or steven segal .





Pandas: read and manipulate data

Pandas is a useful Python library for reading and manipulating datasets of various kinds (text included)

https://pandas.pydata.org/

Largely consists of an implementation of "DataFrame" from the R statistical analysis language

• Swiss army knife data structure

Likely to be covered more thoroughly in a "data science" course.







```
1 # You can access individual columns
25]
         2 corpus_df['sentence']
                       The film was a delight -- I was riveted.
       0
                 It's the most delightful and riveting movie.
       1
       2 It was a terrible flick, the worst I have ever...
                  I have a feeling the film was recut poorly.
        3
       Name: sentence, dtype: object
       1 # And individual rows
26]
         2 corpus_df.loc[0]
                   The film was a delight--I was riveted.
       sentence
       label
                                                        1
       Name: 0, dtype: object
       1 # And individual cells (various ways)
[27]
         2
         3 display(corpus_df['sentence'].loc[0])
         4 display(corpus_df.loc[0]['sentence'])
         5 display(corpus_df.loc[0, 'sentence'])
       'The film was a delight--I was riveted.'
        'The film was a delight--I was riveted.'
        'The film was a delight--I was riveted.'
```



1 # When you get an individual row or column, it comes back as a pd.Series object, 28] 2 # which is a wrapper around an np.array, and can be treated similarly 3 4 10*corpus_df['label'] 10 0 10 0 0 Name: label, dtype: int64 1 # It's easy to define new columns ✓ [29] 2 3 corpus_df['opposite_label'] = 1-corpus_df['label'] 4 corpus_df sentence label opposite_label 1. The film was a delight -- I was riveted. 0 1 0 It's the most delightful and riveting movie. 0 1 1 2 It was a terrible flick, the worst I have ever... 0 1 I have a feeling the film was recut poorly. 0 1 3







.apply() method

	<pre>1 # When you need to apply a function to a whole column, you can use the apply method: 2 3 corpus_df['lowercased_sentence'] = corpus_df['sentence'].apply(lambda sentence:sentence.lower()) 4 corpus_df</pre>							
·Ø.	lowercased_sentence	opposite_label	label	sentence				
	the film was a delighti was riveted.	0	1	The film was a delightI was riveted.	0			
	it's the most delightful and riveting movie.	0	1	It's the most delightful and riveting movie.	1			
	it was a terrible flick, the worst i have ever	1	0	It was a terrible flick, the worst I have ever	2			
	i have a feeling the film was recut poorly.	1	0	I have a feeling the film was recut poorly.	3			



DataFrame filtering

[14] 1 # When you create a boolean column, you can use it to filter the dataframe 3 positive_label = corpus_df['label'] == 1 4 positive label 0 True True False 2 False 3 Name: label, dtype: bool / [15] 1 corpus_df[positive_label] 1. sentence label opposite_label lowercased_sentence the film was a delight -- i was riveted. The film was a delight -- I was riveted. 0 1 0 It's the most delightful and riveting movie. 1 0 it's the most delightful and riveting movie.



DataFrame filtering

1 sentence_has_was = corpus_df['sentence'].apply(lambda sentence:'was' in sentence) ✓ [16] 2 sentence_has_was True 0 False 1 True 2 True 3 Name: sentence, dtype: bool ✓ [17] 0s 1 corpus_df[sentence_has_was] lowercased_sentence 1. sentence label opposite_label The film was a delight -- I was riveted. the film was a delight -- i was riveted. 0 1 0 2 It was a terrible flick, the worst I have ever... 0 1 it was a terrible flick, the worst i have ever... I have a feeling the film was recut poorly. i have a feeling the film was recut poorly. 3 0 1



Reading the dataset

8 train_df = pd.read_csv(train_url, sep='\t')
9 train_df
10

C→		sentence	label	<i>7</i> .
	0	hide new secretions from the parental units	0	
	1	contains no wit , only labored gags	0	
	2	that loves its characters and communicates som	1	
	3	remains utterly satisfied to remain the same t	0	
	4	on the worst revenge-of-the-nerds clichés the	0	
	67344	a delightful comedy	1	
	67345	anguish , anger and frustration	0	
	67346	at achieving the modest , crowd-pleasing goals	1	
	67347	a patient viewer	1	
	67348	this new jangle of noise , mayhem and stupidit	0	
	67349 ro	ows × 2 columns		

1 dev_df = pd.read_csv(dev_url, sep='\t')
2 dev_df

3

	sentence	label
0	it 's a charming and often affecting journey .	1
1	unflinchingly bleak and desperate	0
2	allows us to hope that notan is poised to emba	1
3	the acting , costumes , music , cinematography	1
4	it 's slow very , very slow .	0
867	has all the depth of a wading pool .	0
868	a movie with a real anarchic flair .	1
869	a subject like this should inspire reaction in	0
870	is an arthritic attempt at directing by ca	0
871	looking aristocratic , luminous yet careworn i	1



Preprocessing the dataset

```
1 # The SST-2 dataset is already lowercased and space-separated, so the only thing we need to do is stem
3 from nltk import PorterStemmer
4
5 stemmer = PorterStemmer()
6
7 def split_stem_and_join(s):
8 #Split a string by spaces, stem each toke, then stick it back together
9 return ' '.join([stemmer.stem(token) for token in s.strip().split(' ')])
10
11 for df in dfs:
12 df['stemmed_text'] = df['sentence'].apply(split_stem_and_join)
13 df['tokens'] = df['stemmed_text'].apply(lambda s:s.split(' ')) # we'll use these later
14
```



Preprocessing the dataset

1 trai	in_ut			
	sentence	label	stemmed_text	token
0	hide new secretions from the parental units	0	hide new secret from the parent unit	[hide, new, secret, from, the, parent, uni
1	contains no wit , only labored gags	0	contain no wit , onli labor gag	[contain, no, wit, ,, onli, labor, gag
2	that loves its characters and communicates som	1	that love it charact and commun someth rather \ldots	[that, love, it, charact, and, commun, someth,
3	remains utterly satisfied to remain the same t	0	remain utterli satisfi to remain the same thro	[remain, utterli, satisfi, to, remain, the, sa
4	on the worst revenge-of-the-nerds clichés the	0	on the worst revenge-of-the-nerd cliché the fi	[on, the, worst, revenge-of-the-nerd, cliché,
67344	a delightful comedy	1	a delight comedi	[a, delight, come
67345	anguish , anger and frustration	0	anguish , anger and frustrat	[anguish, ,, anger, and, frustra
67346	at achieving the modest , crowd-pleasing goals	1	at achiev the modest , crowd-pleas goal it set	[at, achiev, the, modest, ,, crowd-pleas, goal
67347	a patient viewer	1	a patient viewer	[a, patient, viewe
67348	this new jangle of noise , mayhem and stupidit	0	thi new jangl of nois , mayhem and stupid must	[thi, new, jangl, of, nois, ,, mayhem, and, st

Vectorizing the text

Note that we are using .fit_transform() on the training data, but only .transform() on the development set.

Why?

```
[26] 1 from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import TfidfVectorizer
2
3 vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer()
4
5 train_X = vectorizer.fit_transform(train_df['stemmed_text'])
6 train_y = train_df['label']
7
8
9 dev_X = vectorizer.transform(dev_df['stemmed_text'])
10 dev_y = dev_df['label']
11
12
```



Training the model

Very simple. Just pick a number of neighbors to consider, and a distance metric, and we're off to the races.

```
[27] 1 from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsClassifier
2
3 classifier = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors=5, metric='cosine') #our old friend cosine distance
4
5 classifier.fit(train_X, train_y)
KNeighborsClassifier(metric='cosine')
```



Evaluating classifiers

Given a set of predictions \hat{Y} and the true labels Y, there are a few different ways to evaluate how well we did.

One way to divide up predictions is into errors $(\hat{y} \neq y)$ and non-errors $(\hat{y} = y)$

In a binary classification setting (like SST-2), we can also think about different kinds of errors and non-errors:

- True positives (TPs): $\hat{y} = 1$; y = 1
- True negatives (TNs): $\hat{y} = 0$; y = 0
- False positives (FPs): $\hat{y} = 1$; y = 0
- False negatives (FNs): $\hat{y} = 0$; y = 1

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Evaluation_of_binary_classifiers

Things get more complicated with 3+ classes, but don't worry about it for now





What percentage of my guesses were correct?

$$ACC = rac{TP + TN}{P + N} = rac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN} = rac{COR}{COR + ERR}$$

Problematic when the true labels are highly **unbalanced** (e.g. 90% positive, 10% negative)

• 91% accuracy looks good by itself, but not so great if you could get 90% by just guessing the most common class.



Recall

Of all the positives examples, what percentage of them did I correctly guess were positive?

AKA sensitivity, true positive rate (TPR)

$$\mathrm{TPR} = rac{\mathrm{TP}}{\mathrm{P}} = rac{\mathrm{TP}}{\mathrm{TP} + \mathrm{FN}} = 1 - \mathrm{FNR}$$

Particularly important when we *really* don't want to miss any positives

- I.e. we want to avoid false negatives
- What are tasks for which this is this the case?



Precision

When I guessed positive, how likely was I to be correct?

AKA positive predictive value (PPV)

$$PPV = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} = 1 - FDR$$

Particularly important when we really don't want to falsely predict any example as positive

• What are tasks where this is the case?



F1 score

Defined as the harmonic mean of precision and recall

Balances precision and recall.

$$\mathrm{F}_1 = 2 imes rac{\mathrm{PPV} imes \mathrm{TPR}}{\mathrm{PPV} + \mathrm{TPR}} = rac{2\mathrm{TP}}{2\mathrm{TP} + \mathrm{FP} + \mathrm{FN}}$$

Does a better job of handling unbalanced data

• Although you still probably want to calculate F1 for both possible definitions of "positive", then take the mean of *that* value



Evaluating the predictions





Understanding individual predictions

```
[32] 1 sentence = "the film was a delight -- i was riveted ."
2 stemmed_sentence = split_stem_and_join(sentence)
3 stemmed_sentence
'the film wa a delight -- i wa rivet .'
[33] 1 #the vectorizer won't accept a single string, so give it a list of size 1
2 sentence_vector = vectorizer.transform([stemmed_sentence])
3 sentence_vector
<1x10106 sparse matrix of type '<class 'numpy.float64'>'
with 5 stored elements in Compressed Sparse Row format>
[34] 1 sentence_prediction = classifier.predict(sentence_vector)
2 sentence_prediction
array([0])
```

This is wrong! But why?



Explaining individual predictions

9 def explain prediction(input sentence, classifier, vectorizer): 10 input vector = vectorizer.transform([stemmed sentence]) 11 prediction = classifier.predict(sentence vector) 12 print(f'Explaining prediction for "{input_sentence}"') 13 print(f'Prediction: {prediction[0]}') 14 # Calling the kneighbors method gives us back a list of neighbor indices and a list of neighbor distances 15 distances, neighbor indices = classifier.kneighbors(input vector) 16 print('Neighbors:') 17 for distance, index in zip(distances[0], neighbor indices[0]): 18 # Using each neighbor index, we can look up the text and true label of that neighbor 19 neighbor_text = train_df['stemmed_text'].loc[index] 20 neighbor_label = train_df['label'].loc[index] 21 print(f'Label: {neighbor label} - Distance: {distance:.3f} - Text: "{neighbor text}"') 22 [36] 1 explain prediction(stemmed sentence, classifier, vectorizer) Explaining prediction for "the film wa a delight -- i wa rivet ." Prediction: 0 Neighbors: Label: 1 - Distance: 0.442 - Text: "wa a better film" Label: 1 - Distance: 0.459 - Text: "wa funni" Label: 0 - Distance: 0.464 - Text: "but it wa n't ." Label: 0 - Distance: 0.480 - Text: "wa n't enough" Label: 0 - Distance: 0.482 - Text: "wa onli"

Finding what we think should be the nearest neighbor(s)

	et_train_df = train_df[train_df['sentence']. et_train_df	apply(1	ambda s:'rivet' in s)]	
	sentence	label	stemmed_text	tokens
504	riveting and	1	rivet and	[rivet, and]
2204	deliver a riveting and surprisingly romantic r	1	deliv a rivet and surprisingli romant ride	[deliv, a, rivet, and, surprisingli, romant, r
2980	told through on-camera interviews with several	1	told through on-camera interview with sever su	[told, through, on-camera, interview, with, se
3388	is a riveting , brisk delight .	1	is a rivet , brisk delight .	[is, a, rivet, ,, brisk, delight, .]
3691	photographed and staged by mendes with a serie	1	photograph and stage by mend with a seri of ri	[photograph, and, stage, by, mend, with, a, se
60644	mostly told through on-camera interviews with	1	mostli told through on-camera interview with s	[mostli, told, through, on-camera, interview,
62522	something rare and riveting : a wild ride that	1	someth rare and rivet : a wild ride that reli	[someth, rare, and, rivet, :, a, wild, ride, t
64045	riveting memories	1	rivet memori	[rivet, memori]
65421	is something rare and riveting : a wild ride t	1	is someth rare and rivet : a wild ride that re	[is, someth, rare, and, rivet, :, a, wild, rid
65651	keeps us riveted with every painful nuance , u	1	keep us rivet with everi pain nuanc , unexpect	[keep, us, rivet, with, everi, pain, nuanc, ,,
-	x 4 columno			

78 rows × 4 columns

Finding what we think should be the nearest neighbor(s)

Found a pretty good one...

[32] 1 sentence = "the film was a delight -- i was riveted ."
2 stemmed_sentence = split_stem_and_join(sentence)

3 stemmed_sentence

'the film wa a delight -- i wa rivet .'





Understanding why it isn't

2				DF values temmed_ser							# No disp
TF-I	IDF val index	ues for term		m wa a del tfidf	light -	i w	a rivet	."		TF-	IDF ind
0	9702	wa	5.705840	0.723450						0	74
1	8892	the	2.212073	0.140236						1	46
2	7427	rivet	7.748210	0.491202						2	23
3	3304	film	3.685805	0.233664						3	11
4	2305	delight	6.330761	0.401342					- l		
									- 1		

					r our comparison parison_sentence, vectorize
TF-	IDF val index	ues for term			k delight ."
0	7427	rivet	7.748210	0.554905	
1	4688	is	3.116558	0.223199	
2	2305	delight	6.330761	0.453391	
3	1189	brisk	9.227286	0.660832	



Understanding "was" vs. "delight"

1 # Let's take a look at how frequent 'wa' is in the dataset 2 display_doc_count('wa', train_df)

13 63	saw how bad this movie was	0	saw how bad thi movi wa	ferry have had the mari well
63		-	Saw now bad un movi wa	[saw, how, bad, thi, movi, wa]
~~	a sour little movie at its core ; an explo	0	a sour littl movi at it core ; an explor o	[, a, sour, littl, movi, at, it, core, ;, a
247 was p	roduced by jerry bruckheimer and directed	0	wa produc by jerri bruckheim and direct by joe	[wa, produc, by, jerri, bruckheim, and, direct
256 ha	fway through this picture i was beginning t	0	halfway through thi pictur i wa begin to hate it	[halfway, through, thi, pictur, i, wa, begin,
585 im	presses as a skillfully assembled , highly p	1	impress as a skill assembl , highli polish and	[impress, as, a, skill, assembl, ,, highli, po
67230 WO	ndering what all that jazz was about `` chic	0	wonder what all that jazz wa about `` chicago	[wonder, what, all, that, jazz, wa, about, ``,
67271 you	may be captivated , as i was , by its mood	1	you may be captiv , as i wa , by it mood , and	[you, may, be, captiv, ,, as, i, wa, ,, by, it
67275	which was shot two years ago	1	which wa shot two year ago	[which, wa, shot, two, year, ago]
67280 whe	ere this was lazy but enjoyable , a formula	0	where thi wa lazi but enjoy , a formula comedi	[where, thi, wa, lazi, but, enjoy, ,, a, formu
67320 a q	uietly moving look back at what it was to b	1	a quietli move look back at what it wa to be i	[a, quietli, move, look, back, at, what, it, w

Understanding "was" vs. "delight"

	sentence	label	stemmed_text	tokens
239	this comic gem is as delightful as it is deriv	1	thi comic gem is as delight as it is deriv .	[thi, comic, gem, is, as, delight, as, it, is,
582	again dazzle and delight us	1	again dazzl and delight us	[again, dazzl, and, delight, us]
631	a delightful stimulus	1	a delight stimulu	[a, delight, stimulu]
697	the problems and characters it reveals are uni	1	the problem and charact it reveal are univers \ldots	[the, problem, and, charact, it, reveal, are, \ldots
752	an absolute delight for all audiences	1	an absolut delight for all audienc	[an, absolut, delight, for, all, audienc]
65098	delight your senses and	1	delight your sens and	[delight, your, sens, and]
65742	a deft , delightful mix of sulky teen drama an	1	a deft , delight mix of sulki teen drama and $\ensuremath{o}\xspace\ldots$	[a, deft, ,, delight, mix, of, sulki, teen, dr
65821	there 's a sheer unbridled delight in the way	1	there 's a sheer unbridl delight in the way	[there, 's, a, sheer, unbridl, delight, in, th
67265	a delightful entree in the tradition of food m	1	a delight entre in the tradit of food movi .	[a, delight, entre, in, the, tradit, of, food,
	a delightful comedy	1	a delight comedi	[a, delight, comedi]

Examining the two distances

Inspecting cosine distance between "the film wa a delight i wa rivet ." and "is a rivet , brisk delight ." IF-IDF values for "the film wa a delight i wa rivet ." IF-IDF values for "is a rivet , brisk delight ." Merged dataframe of the two vectors:						"th and "wa TF- TF-	ne film d a a bett -IDF val -IDF val	wa a de cer film lues for lues for	" "the fil "wa a be	i wa rivet	ight i	wa rivet ."	
	index	term	idf	tfidf_s0	tfidf_s1	tfidf_product		index	term	idf	tfidf_s0	tfidf_s1	tfidf_product
0	9702	wa	5.705840	0.723450	0.000000	0.000000	0	9702	wa	5.705840	0.723450	0.637679	0.461329
1	8892	the	2.212073	0.140236	0.000000	0.000000	1	8892	the	2.212073	0.140236	0.000000	0.000000
2	7427	rivet	7.748210	0.491202	0.554905	0.272571	2	7427	rivet	7.748210	0.491202	0.000000	0.000000
3	3304	film	3.685805	0.233664	0.000000	0.000000	3	3304	film	3.685805	0.233664	0.411922	0.096251
4	2305	delight	6.330761	0.401342	0.453391	0.181965	4	2305	delight	6.330761	0.401342	0.000000	0.000000
5	4688	is	3.116558	0.000000	0.223199	0.000000	5	904	better	5.824239	0.000000	0.650911	0.000000
6	1189	brisk	9.227286	0.000000	0.660832	0.000000					product overter: 1.		ctors): 0.558
Magi Magi Proc Mani	nitude nitude duct of ually-c	of text of text magnit	ducts (dot t 0 tfidf t 1 tfidf tudes: 1.0 ted cosine ine distan	vector: 1. vector: 1. distance:	000 000	ectors): 0.455	Mag Pro Mar	gnitude oduct of nually-o	of text magnit	1 tfidf udes: 1.0 ed cosine	vector: 1.	000	

32

The problem

3 main things going on here:

- 1. It turns out that "was" is less common in the corpus (only 608 instances) than we might expect compared to delight (325 instances)
- 2. "was" occurs twice in "the film was a delight -- i was riveted .", so it gets a higher tf-idf weight for that vector
- 3. Because cosine distance is normalized by vector magnitude, the tf-idf values in shorter texts get a higher value than the same ones in longer texts

We can't do anything about 1 without changing the corpus, or about 3 without using a different distance metric

But what about 2?



Training a new model

```
1 # By setting binary=True, the vectorizer will only count each token once per text
2 binary_vectorizer = TfidfVectorizer(binary=True)

1 # So now we vectorize again
2 binary_train_X = binary_vectorizer.fit_transform(train_df['stemmed_text'])
3 binary_train_y = train_df['label']

6 binary_dev_X = binary_vectorizer.transform(dev_df['stemmed_text'])
7 binary_dev_y = dev_df['label']

1 # And train the model again
2 binary_classifier = KNeighborsClassifier(n_neighbors=5, metric='cosine') #our old friend cosine distance
3 4 binary_classifier.fit(binary_train_X, binary_train_y)

KNeighborsClassifier(metric='cosine')
```



Training a new model

1 # And then see if does any better on our original sentence-of-interest 2 explain_prediction(stemmed_sentence, binary_classifier, binary_vectorizer)

Explaining prediction for "the film wa a delight -- i wa rivet ." Prediction: 0 Neighbors: Label: 1 - Distance: 0.370 - Text: "rivet" Label: 1 - Distance: 0.370 - Text: "rivet" Label: 1 - Distance: 0.397 - Text: "rivet and" Label: 1 - Distance: 0.402 - Text: "a rivet , brisk delight" Label: 1 - Distance: 0.402 - Text: "rivet , brisk delight"



Training a new model

1 # Okay, much better. The model is clearly still preferring short neighbors to long ones, 2 # but at least it is finding the words that seem more impactful. 3 4 # But is the model more accurate, now that we've made this change? 5 6 print('Evaluating binary vectorizer model on dev set:') 7 evaluate_model(binary_dev_X, binary_dev_y, binary_classifier) 8 9 print('\nEvaluating original vectorizer model on dev set:') 10 evaluate_model(dev_X, dev_y, classifier) Evaluating binary vectorizer model on dev set: Accuracy: 0.735 Precision: 0.700 Recall: 0.840 F1: 0.764 Evaluating original vectorizer model on dev set: Accuracy: 0.742 Precision: 0.707 Recall: 0.842 F1: 0.769



Why did I go through that with you?

1. I had to deal with it when I was writing the code, so now you get to deal with it too.

2. These kinds of issues come up all the time. Model debugging is part of the life of an NLP or data science practitioner.



Model confidence

Sometimes you want not just a prediction, but a **confidence estimate** of how certain the classifier is in its prediction.

What are some cases where you might want this?

How to calculate confidence varies from model to model, and doing it robustly is a whole research topic in and of itself.

For K-nearest-neighbors, you can just look at the votes of the K neighbors.



Model confidence

<pre>1 # We can look at the original model's prediction on our sentence of interest</pre>	<pre>1 # Now let's look at the new model's prediction on that same sentence</pre>
2 explain_prediction(stemmed_sentence, classifier, vectorizer)	2 explain_prediction(stemmed_sentence, binary_classifier, binary_vectorizer)
Explaining prediction for "the film wa a delight i wa rivet ."	Explaining prediction for "the film wa a delight i wa rivet ."
Prediction: 0	Prediction: 0
Neighbors:	Neighbors:
Label: 1 - Distance: 0.442 - Text: "wa a better film"	Label: 1 - Distance: 0.370 - Text: "rivet"
Label: 1 - Distance: 0.459 - Text: "wa funni"	Label: 1 - Distance: 0.370 - Text: "rivet"
Label: 0 - Distance: 0.464 - Text: "but it wa n't ."	Label: 1 - Distance: 0.397 - Text: "rivet and"
Label: 0 - Distance: 0.480 - Text: "wa n't enough"	Label: 1 - Distance: 0.402 - Text: "a rivet , brisk delight"
Label: 0 - Distance: 0.482 - Text: "wa onli"	Label: 1 - Distance: 0.402 - Text: "rivet , brisk delight"

1 # This functionality is built into the .predict_proba() method of most sklearn models
2 print(f'Prediction probs for original model on "{stemmed_sentence}":',classifier.predict_proba(sentence_vector))
3 binary_sentence_vector = binary_vectorizer.transform([stemmed_sentence])
4 print(f'Prediction probs for binary model on "{stemmed_sentence}":',binary_classifier.predict_proba(binary_sentence_vector))

Prediction probs for original model on "the film wa a delight -- i wa rivet .": [[0.6 0.4]] Prediction probs for binary model on "the film wa a delight -- i wa rivet .": [[0. 1.]]



Hyperparameters

All these different choices are called "hyperparameters"

- How many neighbors to use
- What distance metric to use
- Whether to set binary=True or False in the vectorizer

A big part of model building is finding the best (or adequately okay) set of hyperparameters

Simplest and most common approach is to just search exhaustively over space of possible values—called **grid search**



Hyperparameters

```
1 from itertools import product
 2
 3 def dummy_train_model(binary:bool, n_neighbors:int, distance_metric:str):
    print(f'Training a model using the following hyperparameters:')
 4
    print(f'\tBinary vectorizer: {binary}')
 5
    print(f'\tNumber of neighbors: {n_neighbors}')
 6
    print(f'\tDistance metric: {distance_metric}')
 7
 8
 9
10 hyperparameter ranges = { 'binary': [True, False],
                           'n neighbors':[3,5],
11
                            'distance metric':['cosine', 'minkowski']}
12
13
14 # itertools is a very useful library of python functions for doing various things with iterables
15 # the product function takes a list of iterables and lets you iterate through
16 # all combinations of of the items in those iterables
17 combo iterator = product(*hyperparameter ranges.values())
18
19 for value combo in combo iterator:
20 print()
    combo dict = {key:value for key, value in zip(hyperparameter ranges.keys(), value combo)}
21
22 print(combo dict)
23 dummy train model(**combo dict)
```



Hyperparameters

{'binary': True, 'n_neighbors': 3, 'distance_metric': 'cosine'} Training a model using the following hyperparameters: Binary vectorizer: True Number of neighbors: 3 Distance metric: cosine {'binary': True, 'n_neighbors': 3, 'distance_metric': 'minkowski'} Training a model using the following hyperparameters: Binary vectorizer: True Number of neighbors: 3 Distance metric: minkowski {'binary': True, 'n neighbors': 5, 'distance metric': 'cosine'} Training a model using the following hyperparameters: Binary vectorizer: True Number of neighbors: 5 Distance metric: cosine {'binary': True, 'n_neighbors': 5, 'distance_metric': 'minkowski'} Training a model using the following hyperparameters: Binary vectorizer: True

Number of neighbors: 5



Other things to know

How to use each set:

- Train on the training set
- Experiment on the dev set
- Leave the test set alone until the very end (notice we didn't even use it)

When dealing with temporal data (which SST-2 is not, really)

- Never, ever, train on future data and test on past data
- Super common mistake in the wild



Concluding thoughts

New toolkit: Pandas

Pretty cool that we can already build models with what little we've learned so far. Nonparametric models so far, but we're getting there.

When doing nearest-neighbor classification (and classification generally for 1 and 2):

- 1. How you choose to vectorize your text matters a lot
- 2. The distance metric you use matters a lot
- 3. Sometimes more sensible individual predictions don't translate to better performance

