# Linear and Logistic Regression 

CS 780/880 Natural Language Processing Lecture 10
Samuel Carton, University of New Hampshire

## Last lecture

Key idea: Hidden Markov Models

## Concepts:

- Bayes Networks
- Generative story
- HMMs
- Inference
- Likelihood: Forward algorithm
- Decoding: Viterbi algorithm
- Learning
- Labeled: Counting
- Unlabeled: Forward-backward algorithm
- Generation


## Concepts:

- POS tagging
- Dynamic programming
- Expectation-maximization


## Linear regression

## Linear regression

Basic idea: given some points in N -dimensional space, find a "line of best fit" that is as close as possible to those points.

When the points are text:

- $\mathrm{N}=$ vocabulary size
- Examples:
- Grading essays 0-100
- Scoring text complexity



## Linear regression

Mathematically, what we're trying to do is figure out some function:
$\hat{y}=W x+b$
... where $W$ and $b$ are values such that $\hat{y}$ tends to be close to $y$ for any given $x$.

Very common in ML to refer to predicted output as $\hat{y}$ and true output as $y$.


## Loss function

We generally articulate this goal with a loss function that describes the value we're trying to minimize with our choice of $W$ and $b$.

AKA "Objective function"

It's very typical to minimize squared loss between expected and true output:

$$
\sum_{i}\left(\hat{y}_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

That would give us a loss function of:


$$
\begin{aligned}
L(W, b) & =\sum_{i}\left(\hat{y}_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\hat{y}_{0}-y_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(\hat{y}_{1}-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\hat{y}_{2}-y_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(W x_{0}+b-y_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(W x_{1}+b-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(W x_{2}+b-y_{2}\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Simple example

To show how we can solve this, l'll use a simple example with no intercept (b)

So the loss function is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(W, b) & =\sum_{i}\left(\hat{y}_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\hat{y}_{0}-y_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(\hat{y}_{1}-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\hat{y}_{2}-y_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(W x_{0}-y_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(W x_{1}-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(W x_{2}-y_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(W^{2} x_{0}{ }^{2}-2 W x_{0} y_{0}+y_{0}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(W^{2} x_{1}{ }^{2}-2 W x_{1} y_{1}+y_{1}^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(W^{2} x_{2}{ }^{2}-2 W x_{2} y_{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& =W^{2}\left(x_{0}{ }^{2}+x_{1}{ }^{2}+x_{2}{ }^{2}\right)-2 W\left(x_{0} y_{0}+x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}\right)+\left(y_{0}{ }^{2}+y_{1}{ }^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Simple example

If the loss function for $W$ is this:

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(W)= & W^{2}\left(x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& -2 W\left(x_{0} y_{0}+x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& +\left(y_{0}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the graph of $L$ as a function of $W$ looks like this:


## Simple example

It's pretty obvious what value of $W$ will minimize the loss here.


## Simple example

What may be less obvious is that this also happens to be the point where the derivative of L with respect to W is 0 .
$\frac{d L}{d W}=0$
In some sense it is the bottom of a pit.

Gradient descent is the process of gradually following the slope of the function down to these pit-bottoms


## Simple example

In the most simple case, we pick a random point on the function and find the slope (derivative)

Then we move some incremental distance in the direction that reduces the value of $L$ (left in this case)

This increment that we move each step is called the learning rate


## Simple example

Then we calculate the slope again at this new point and move one increment in the reducing-L direction (still left).


## Simple example

And we keep doing that...


## Simple example

And keep doing that...


Ni

## Simple example

Until we hit a point on $W$ where the slope seems to have levelled out

That is, $\frac{d L}{d W}=0$
And we conclude that we've found the value of $W$ that minimizes $L$

## Challenge question:

- What if the learning rate is too high?
- What if it is too low?



## Adding back the intercept

So what if our function does have an intercept?

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(W, b) & =\sum_{i}\left(\hat{y}_{i}-y_{i}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(\hat{y}_{0}-y_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(\hat{y}_{1}-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\hat{y}_{2}-y_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(W x_{0}+b-y_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(W x_{1}+b-y_{1}\right)^{2}+\left(W x_{2}+b-y_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =\left(W^{2} x_{0}^{2}-2 W x_{0} y_{0}+2 W b x_{0}-b 2 y_{0}+y_{0}^{2}+b^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(W^{2} x_{1}^{2}-2 W x_{1} y_{1}+2 W b x_{1}-b 2 y_{1}+y_{1}^{2}+b^{2}\right) \\
& +\left(W^{2} x_{2}^{2}-2 W x_{2} y_{2}+2 W b x_{2}-b 2 y_{2}+y_{2}^{2}+b^{2}\right) \\
& =W^{2}\left(x_{0}^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& -2 W\left(x_{0} y_{0}+x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& +2 W b\left(x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \\
& -2 b\left(y_{0}+y_{1}+y_{2}\right) \\
& +\left(y_{0}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +3 b^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$



## Adding back the intercept

So what if our function does have an intercept?

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(W, b) & =W^{2}\left(x_{0}{ }^{2}+x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& -2 W\left(x_{0} y_{0}+x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
& +2 W b\left(x_{0}+x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \\
& -2 b\left(y_{0}+y_{1}+y_{2}\right) \\
& +\left(y_{0}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}\right) \\
& +3 b^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

More complicated, but the key thing is that L is still just a quadratic function of $W$ and $b$


## Adding back the intercept

So the loss becomes a 2-dimensional function, and we're trying to find a value for $W$ and a value for $b$, which, taken together, minimize L


## Adding back the intercept

We can still use gradient descent though!
Only now, instead of following the derivative $\frac{d L}{d W}$ of L with respect to $W$ to the bottom...

We now follow a vector composed of the partial derivates of $L$ with respect to W and b : $\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial W}, \frac{\partial L}{\partial b}\right)$

We call this vector the gradient of $L$ with respect to W and b , and usually denote it with the $\Delta$ symbol, e.g. $\Delta_{L}(\mathrm{~W}, \mathrm{~b})$


## Gradient descent

Gradient descent is the method by which all neural nets are trained.

It works* in any situation where it is possible to calculate the gradient of the loss with respect to the model parameters

- $\hat{y}=W x+b$ has two parameters: $W$ and $b$
- ChatGPT has 175 billion parameters
*: it works more or less well depending on the shape of the loss function.
- If the function is a nice convex "bucket", then it will always find the global minimum.
- But this is not usually true


## Local minima

One issue in gradient descent is "local minima" which are false "dips" the gradient descent can get stuck in.


## Saddle points

Another issue is "saddle points" which represent a minimum for one parameter but a maximum for a different one.

The gradient for both can be zero here... but it's not necessarily a very good solution.


## Advanced gradient descent

Advanced gradient descent algorithms have various tricks to help them avoid local minima and other issues

## Most popular: Adam

- Uses "momentum" to learn adaptive learning rate for each parameter

```
arXiv
https://arxiv.org , cs :
[1412.6980] Adam: A Method for Stochastic Optimization - arXiv
by DP Kingma - 2014 C Cited bi37055 Abstract: We introduce Adam, an algorithm for first-
order gradient-based optimization of stochastic objective functions, based on adaptive...
Cite as: arXiv:1412.6980
```

- Generally the default choice for optimizing any arbitrary neural net

Long story short: just use Adam for everything

- Unless you have a good reason not to


## Logistic regression

## Logistic regression

Generally in NLP we're more interested in classification than regression

- Mapping input x's to a discrete category rather than a continuous value

Linear regression not ideal for this

We can do it hackily with a threshold on the predicted value

- But this has problems



## Logistic function

To solve this problem, we are going to wrap our original function, which we will call $f$, in a logistic function

$$
\sigma(x)=\frac{1}{1+e^{-x}} \quad \sigma(f(x))=\frac{1}{1+e^{-(W x+b)}}
$$

One nice thing about it is that it is easy to differentiate because of the property that:

$$
\frac{d}{d x} \sigma(x)=\sigma(x)(1-\sigma(x))
$$


https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Logistic_function

So if we call our original function $f$ :
$\frac{d}{d x} \sigma(f(x))=\sigma(f(x))(1-\sigma(f(x))) f^{\prime}(x)=W \sigma(W x+b)(1-\sigma(W x+b))$

## Logistic regression

So now instead of trying to fit a straight line to the data, we're trying to choose $W$ and $b$ to fit this S-shaped logistic curve to the data

Different choices for W and b change how steep the curve is and where it is centered.


## Gradient descent for logistic regression

I won't do the full derivation, but:

- The function we're trying to fit is differentiable
- Which means we can create a differentiable loss function
- Which means we can do gradient descent!

However: mean squared error is not always convex for logistic regression
So we typically use cross-entropy loss as our objective: $\quad L(y, \hat{y})=\sum_{c} y_{c} \log \left(\hat{y}_{c}\right)$

- Sum across possible classes of true value for that class multiplied by predicted log-probability of that class

More detailed discussion available in Speech and Language Processing chapter
5: https://web.stanford.edu/~jurafsky/slp3/5.pdf

## Visualizing linear regression

You can think of linear regression as a vector operation between matrices of $x$ 's, W's and ys


Or in a graphical form which shows how the individual $x$ 's come together to form $\hat{y}$


## Visualizing logistic regression

You can do the same thing for logistic regression by adding the $\sigma$ function


## Visualizing logistic regression

When we think of the logistic function as a final step being placed on top of the weighted sum $W x+b$ in order to squeeze it down to $[0,1]$, then we call it an activation function


But logistic is the classic one

## Linear vs logistic regression in practice

## Read the SST-2 dataset

|  | sentence | label |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | it 's a charming and often affecting journey | 1 |
| 1 | unflinchingly bleak and desperate | 0 |
| 2 | allows us to hope that nolan is poised to emba... | 1 |
| 3 | the acting, costumes, music , cinematography... | 1 |
| 4 | it 's slow -- very, very slow. | 0 |
| ... | $\cdots$ |  |
| 867 | has all the depth of a wading pool. | 0 |
| 868 | a movie with a real anarchic flair. | 1 |
| 869 | a subject like this should inspire reaction in... | 0 |
| 870 | ... is an arthritic attempt at directing by ca... | 0 |
| 871 | looking aristocratic, , luminous yet careworn i... | 1 |

[^0]
## Preprocessing and vectorizing the data



## Preprocessing and vectorizing the data

```
1 \text { from sklearn.feature_extraction.text import CountVectorizer}
1 vectorizer = CountVectorizer()
2 train_X = vectorizer.fit_transform(train_df['preprocessed'])
3 display(train_X)
<67349x10106 sparse matrix of type '<class 'numpy.int64'>'
    with 535539 stored elements in Compressed Sparse Row format>
1 dev_X = vectorizer.transform(dev_df['preprocessed'])
2 display(dev_X)
<872x10106 sparse matrix of type '<class 'numpy.int64'>'
    with }12939\mathrm{ stored elements in Compressed Sparse Row format>
```


## Linear regression - Training

```
1 from sklearn.linear_model import LinearRegression
# # As with most cases, it's very easy to instantiate and train a linear regression model
# # in scikit-learn
3
4 # Note: SST-2 is NOT a regression task. It is a classification task.
5
6 # However, because the label is either 0 or 1, we can still sort of treat it as
# # a regression task, by treating those as target values for the model.
8
9 # This only works for ordinal classification tasks, where there's an easy
10 # way of converting from labels to numbers
1 1
12 lin_reg_model = LinearRegression()
13 lin_reg_model.fit(train_X, train_df['label'])
```

LinearRegression()

## Linear regression - Training

```
1 # Notice that because it is a regression model, the predictions are continuous
# values that aren't necessarily bounded between 0 and 1
3 train_df['lin_reg_prediction'] = lin_reg_model.predict(train_X)
4 dev_df['lin_reg_prediction'] = lin_reg_model.predict(dev_X)
5
6 display(dev_df)
```

|  | sentence | label | preprocessed | lin_reg_prediction |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | it 's a charming and often affecting journey . | 1 | it 's a charm and often affect journey . | 1.156662 |
| 1 | unflinchingly bleak and desperate | 0 | unflinchingli bleak and desper | -0.069508 |
| 2 | allows us to hope that nolan is poised to emba... | 1 | allow us to hope that nolan is pois to embark ... | 0.919836 |
| 3 | the acting, costumes, music , cinematography... | 1 | the act, costum , music, cinematographi and... | 0.934645 |
| 4 | it 's slow -- very, very slow. | 0 | it 's slow -- veri, veri slow. | 0.031911 |
| ... | ... | $\cdots$ | $\ldots$ |  |
| 867 | has all the depth of a wading pool. | 0 | ha all the depth of a wade pool. | 0.514821 |
| 868 | a movie with a real anarchic flair. | 1 | a movi with a real anarch flair . | 1.922209 |
| 869 | a subject like this should inspire reaction in... | 0 | a subject like thi should inspir reaction in i... | 0.914590 |
| 870 | ... is an arthritic attempt at directing by ca... | 0 | ... is an arthrit attempt at direct by calli k... | 0.326833 |
| 871 | looking aristocratic, luminous yet careworn i... | 1 | look aristocrat , lumin yet careworn in jane h... | 0.098285 |
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## Linear regression - Evaluation

```
1 # We can't use classification metrics when we do regression, because those only work
2 # for discrete categorical values
3
4 \text { \# Instead, we measure the average discrepancy between target value and true value}
# The two most common metrics are mean squared error (MSE) and mean absolute error (MAE)
6
7 from sklearn.metrics import mean_squared_error, mean_absolute_error
8
9 def evaluate_regression(y, py):
10 print(f'Mean squared error: {mean_squared_error(y,py):.3f}')
11 print(f'Mean absolute error: {mean_absolute_error(y,py):.3f}')
1 # Smaller values are better. Notice how overfitted we are to the training data
2
3 print('Train linear regression results:')
4 evaluate_regression(train_df['label'], train_df['lin_reg_prediction'])
5 print('\nDev linear regression results:')
6 evaluate_regression(dev_df['label'], dev_df['lin_reg_prediction'])
```

Train linear regression results:
Mean squared error: 0.078
Mean absolute error: 0.219
Dev linear regression results:
Mean squared error: 0.389
Mean absolute error: 0.472

## Linear regression - Evaluation

1 from sklearn.metrics import accuracy_score, precision_score, recall_score, f1_score 2 import numpy as np
3
4 \# 0.5 is a reasonable value for the threshold in this circumstance
5 def evaluate_thresholded_regression(y, py, threshold=0.5):
6
\# Numpy vectors/pandas Series can be compared to scalars, producing a bool
\# vector, which the classification metric functions can handle
t $y=(y>=$ threshold $)$
t_py $=$ (py >= threshold)
print(f'Accuracy: \{accuracy_score(t_y, t_py):.3f\}')
print(f'Precision: \{precision_score(t_y, t_py):.3f\}')
print(f'Recall: \{recall_score(t_y, t_py):.3f\}')
5 print(f'F1: \{f1_score(t_y, t_py):.3f\}')

1 \# We were doing better with Naive Bayes a couple weeks ago.
2 print('Thresholded train linear regression results:')
3 evaluate_thresholded_regression(train_df['label'], train_df['lin_reg_prediction'])
4 print('\nThresholded dev linear regression results:')
5 evaluate_thresholded_regression(dev_df['label'], dev_df['lin_reg_prediction'])
Thresholded train linear regression results:
Accuracy: 0.927
Precision: 0.919
Recall: 0.953
F1: 0.936
Thresholded dev linear regression results:
Accuracy: 0.744
Precision: 0.735
Recall: 0.779
F1: 0.756

## Linear regression - Global explanations

```
5 \text { def explain_binary_linear_model(model, vocabulary, k=10):}
# This is necessary because the coefs for logistic regression can be a 2D matrix
    # (though they won't be in this notebook)
    model_coefs = np.squeeze(model.coef_)
    sorted_coef_indices = np.argsort(np.abs(model_coefs))
    # Grab the indices of the top k indices and flip their order to descending
    top_k_indices = sorted_coef_indices[:-k:-1]
    # Numpy vectors can be indexed by multiple indices at once
    top_k_coefs = model_coefs[top_k_indices]
    # Python lists aren't so flexible, so we have to use a comprehension
    top_k_words = [vocabulary[index] for index in top_k_indices]
    for word, coef in zip(top_k_words, top_k_coefs):
    print(f'\tWord: {word} - Coef: {coef:.3f}')
1 \# These words make... a lot less sense than the ones we got back for Naive Bayes
2 \# Why might that be?
3 print(f'Top 10 coefficients in our linear regression model:')
4 explain_binary_linear_model(lin_reg_model, vocabulary)
```

Top 10 coefficients in our linear regression model:
Word: edmund - Coef: -2.093
Word: embed - Coef: 1.660
Word: schtick - Coef: 1.619
Word: interchang - Coef: 1.581
Word: drung - Coef: 1.561
Word: purgatori - Coef: -1.548
Word: size - Coef: -1.380
Word: wire - Coef: 1.357
Word: kangaroo - Coef: -1.353

## "Kangaroo" and "Edmund"

"Kangaroo"


## KANGAROO JACK

PG 2003, Comedy, 1h 29 m
S.3 8\%

TOMATOMETER
114 Reviews
目 29\%
AUDIENCE SCORE
50,000+ Ratings
"Edmund"
???

## Linear regression - Local explanations

```
1 # We can also generate explanations for individual predictions using similar logic
2
3 def explain_binary_linear_model_prediction(input,model, vectorizor):
# This is necessary because the coefs for logistic regression can be a 2D matrix
# (though they won't be in this notebook)
model_coefs = np.squeeze(model.coef_)
# Assume the input hasn't been preprocessed, so do that and then vectorize it
preprocessed = preprocess(input)
tokens = preprocessed.split(' ')
input_X = vectorizer.transform([preprocessed])
py = model.predict(input_X)[0]
print(f'Prediction: {py}')
print(f'Word coefficients:')
for token in tokens:
    if token in vectorizer.vocabulary_: # Skip any tokens that are not in the vectorizer vocab
        token_index = vectorizer.vocabulary_[token]
        token_coef = model_coefs[token_index]
        print(f'\tword: {token} - Coef: {token_coef:.3f}')
    print(f'Model intercept: {model.intercept_}')
```


## Linear regression - Local explanations

```
1 # We can see in this example that the prediction is just below 0.5
2 # "poorly" is considered much more negative than "well" was positive,
# but the high intercept almost pushes the total sum above 0.5
4
5 explain_binary_linear_model_prediction('the movie was well written but poorly acted.',
6 lin_reg_model,
7
lin_reg_model,
```

Prediction: 0.47644153366011277 Word coefficients:

Word: the - Coef: -0.005
Word: movi - Coef: 0.002
Word: wa - Coef: -0.079
Word: well - Coef: 0.161
Word: written - Coef: 0.087
Word: but - Coef: 0.047
Word: poorli - Coef: -0.337
Word: act - Coef: -0.001
Model intercept: 0.6021082139311205

## Binary logistic regression - Training

1 from sklearn.linear_model import LogisticRegression

1 log_reg_model = LogisticRegression()
2 log_reg_model.fit(train_X, train_df['label'])
/usr/local/lib/python3.8/dist-packages/sklearn/linear_model/_logistic.py:814: Convergencelarning: lbfgs failed to converge (status=1): STOP: TOTAL NO. of ITERATIONS REACHED LIMIT.

Increase the number of iterations (max_iter) or scale the data as shown in:
https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/preprocessing.html
Please also refer to the documentation for alternative solver options:
https://scikit-learn.org/stable/modules/linear_model.html\#logistic-regression
n_iter_i = _check_optimize_result
LogisticRegression()

## Linear regression - Training

|  | ```rain_df['log_reg_prediction'] = log_reg_mod ev_df['log_reg_prediction'] = log_reg_model isplay(dev_df)``` | el.pr .pred | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dict(train_X) } \\ & \operatorname{ct}\left(\operatorname{dev}_{-} x\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | sentence | label | preprocessed | lin_reg_prediction | log_reg_prediction |
| 0 | it 's a charming and often affecting journey | 1 | it 's a charm and often affect journey . | 1.156662 | 1 |
| 1 | unflinchingly bleak and desperate | 0 | unflinchingli bleak and desper | -0.069508 | 0 |
| 2 | allows us to hope that nolan is poised to emba... | 1 | allow us to hope that nolan is pois to embark... | 0.919836 | 1 |
| 3 | the acting, costumes, music, cinematography ... | 1 | the act, costum, music, cinematographi and ... | 0.934645 | 1 |
| 4 | it 's slow -- very, very slow. | 0 | it 's slow -- veri, veri slow . | 0.031911 | 0 |
| ... |  | $\ldots$ | ... | $\ldots$ | .. |
| 867 | has all the depth of a wading pool. | 0 | ha all the depth of a wade pool. | 0.514821 | 0 |
| 868 | a movie with a real anarchic flair | 1 | a movi with a real anarch flair . | 1.922209 | 1 |
| 869 | a subject like this should inspire reaction in... | 0 | a subject like thi should inspir reaction in i... | 0.914590 | 0 |
| 870 | ... is an arthritic attempt at directing by ca... | 0 | ... is an arthrit attempt at direct by calli k... | 0.326833 | 0 |
| 871 | looking aristocratic, luminous yet careworn i... | 1 | look aristocrat , lumin yet careworn in jane h... | 0.098285 | 1 |

872 rows $\times 5$ columns

## Logistic regression - Evaluation

```
1 # Now we don't have to do any thresholding of our own, so we can
2 # just use the classification metrics as-is
3 def evaluate_classification(y, py):
    print(f'Accuracy: {accuracy_score(y, py):.3f}')
    print(f'Precision: {precision_score(y, py):.3f}')
    print(f'Recall: {recall_score(y, py):.3f}')
print(f'F1: {f1_score(y, py):.3f}')
```

1 \# Looking better! This is pretty similar to what we were getting with Naive Bayes
2 \# Still some overfitting happening though.
3 print('Train logistic regression results:')
4 evaluate_classification(train_df['label'], train_df['log_reg_prediction'])
5 print('\̄nDev logistic regression results:')
6 evaluate_classification(dev_df['label'], dev_df['log_reg_prediction'])

Train logistic regression results: Accuracy: 0.926
Precision: 0.927
Recall: 0.940
F1: 0.934
Dev logistic regression results: Accuracy: 0.808
Precision: 0.794
Recall: 0.842
F1: 0.817

Thresholded train linear regression results: Accuracy: 0.927
Precision: 0.919
Recall: 0.953
F1: 0.936

Thresholded dev linear regression results:
Accuracy: 0.744
Precision: 0.135
Recall: 0.779
F1: 0.756
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## Logistic regression - global explanations

```
1 \# These look better
2 print(f'Top 10 coefficients in our logistic regression model:')
3 explain_binary_linear_model(log_reg_model, vocabulary)
```

Top 10 coefficients in our logistic regression model:
Word: lack - Coef: -4.389
Word: worst - Coef: -4.069
Word: anatom - Coef: 4.015
Word: devoid - Coef: -3.871
Word: failur - Coef: -3.703
Word: refresh - Coef: 3.654
Word: stupid - Coef: -3.521
Word: assum - Coef: -3.437
Word: mess - Coef: -3.407

## Logistic regression - Local explanations

```
1 # We can explain individual predictions the same way too
2
# # Interestingly, we see the same effect with "was" as we observed when we
# did Naive Bayes
5 explain_binary_linear_model_prediction('the movie was well written but poorly acted.',
6 - - _ log_reg_model,
7 vectorizer)
Prediction: 0 Word coefficients:
Word: the - Coef: -0.023 Word: movi - Coef: -0.054 Word: wa - Coef: -0.903
Word: well - Coef: 1.488
Word: written - Coef: 0.483
Word: but - Coef: 0.077
Word: poorli - Coef: -2.898
Word: act - Coef: -0.061
Model intercept: [0.36910711]
```


## Concluding thoughts

## Linear regression

- Learn $W x+b$ from data
- Predict continuous values
- Optimize mean squared error


## Logistic regression

- Learn $\sigma(W x+b)$ from data
- Predict (close to) 0 or 1
- Optimize cross-entropy


## Key concepts:

- Loss function
- I.e. objective function
- Gradient of loss with respect to parameters
- Gradient descent
- Activation function


[^0]:    872 rows $\times 2$ columns

